PM2.5 Implementation Rule Requirements: Reasonably Available Control Measures July 19, 2011

Catherine Roberts
Particulate Matter Program Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 8

Overview

- 1. PM2.5 Rule Statutory Requirements
- 2. Key Elements of PM2.5 Rule
- 3. Pollutants To Address in Attainment Plan
- 4. Reasonably Available Control Measure (RACM)
- 5. Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)
- 6. Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)
- 7. Contingency Measures
- 8. Resources

PM2.5 Rule Statutory Requirements

- 1. CAA Section 172 (subpart 1) nonattainment provisions: SIP must include demonstration that State "has adopted all RACM (including RACT) necessary to demonstrate attainment as expeditiously as practicable and to meet any RFP requirements."
 - Sufficient documentation to support analysis
 - SIP shall include list of measures considered
 - No specific threshold for size of source
- 2. The analysis needs to demonstrate that there are no additional technically and economically feasible measures that would enable the area to attain at least one year earlier.
 - Guiding principle for analysis must show that RACM/RACT does not exclude any group of reasonable controls including controls on smaller sources.

Key Elements : Preamble II.F.2

- RACT is part of RACM not an independent requirement
- Tailor strategies to the unique problems of the area
- Analysis must show that all RACT and RACM have been adopted as enforceable regulations
- More comprehensive analysis needed if not attaining by 2014
- Must consider controls identified in public comments
- Level of documentation depends on attainment date
- Consider measures that provide multipollutant co-benefits

Pollutants

- Direct PM2.5 and SO2: Must be evaluated for control measures in each area
- PM2.5 emission limits and inventories need to include condensables
- NOx: Must be evaluated for control measures in each area unless a technical demonstration shows NOx emissions do not significantly contribute
- VOC & Ammonia: Not required to be evaluated for control measures unless a technical demonstration showing that emissions significantly contribute

Condensables: Preamble II.F.11

- Emission inventories have been required to include condensable PM for many years
- PM2.5 rule provided for a transition period which ended on Jan 1, 2011
- SIPs to include controls on condensables with enforceable limits if needed to show attainment or RFP
- Final test method rule was effective on January I,
 2011

RACM

- RACT is a type of RACM specifically for stationary sources
- Area source measures: commercial cooking, reducing solvents
- Residential wood combustion/changeouts
- Open burning restrictions
- Transportation control measures
- Diesel retrofits/idling
- Innovative approaches: energy efficiency & renewables www.epa.gov/ttn/airinnovations/measure specific.html

Technical Feasibility: Preamble II.F.4

- Consider factors such as:
 - Process and operating conditions
 - Raw materials
 - Physical plant layout
 - Non-air quality and energy impacts
 - Time needed to install and operate controls

Economic Feasibility: Preamble II.F.5

- Consider costs, \$/ton, and economic effects
- No fixed \$/ton
- Is the cost of the potential measure reasonable for the regulated entity to bear
- \$/ton level for previous measures is an indicator of reasonableness but may differ across categories
- Generally assumed reasonable if sources in same category have implemented the control measure

Economic Feasibility cont'd

- Economic analysis necessary if source can't afford technology that is RACT for another area
- If severe impacts on local economy (shutdown, severe curtailment) economic analysis needed to support
- Feasibility may depend on availability of public funding i.e. mobile sources or transportation measures
- Economic Resource Manual http://www.epa.gov/ttn/ecas/analguid.html
- EPA Air Pollution Control Cost Manual (EPA 452/B-02-001)
- http://www.epa.gov/ttn/ecas/cost.htm

RACT for Stationary Sources

- Improve monitoring and performance of existing controls for fine particles: identify and mitigate malfunctions
- Upgrade control devices: is device undersized or increase capture rate
- Recent or upcoming actions
 - Refineries: recent measures in settlements, FCC units, SRU and process heaters
 - cement kilns, industrial boiler MACT, utility toxics rules
- Consider year-round NOx controls/low NOx burners/SCR
- Stationary diesel retrofits: oxidation catalyst/diesel particulate filter

RACT cont'd

- Improved monitoring
- Add conditioning agents
- ESP upgrades: wet ESP, gas conditioning, modernize electrical controls
- Advanced controls: polishing baghouse/bag leak detectors/advanced hybrid filter (ESP&FF)
- Increase scrubber pressure drop
- Reduce temperature to aid in collection of condensables

RACT for Sources With Previous RACT: Preamble II.F.10

- State must assure that RACT is met, either through
 - New RACT determinations if pollutant not addressed or the conclusion was no control
 - Certification that previously required RACT controls represent RACT today
- Previous BACT/LAER/MACT
 - Not automatically RACT must provide reasoning why it is RACT

Certification

- If State adopted and EPA approved RACT control measure for a specific source then may be able to certify that as part of SIP revision
- States "are to consider new information" for previous RACT determinations
- Need to include appropriate supporting information
- Must have public notice and comment

Supporting Documentation

- Rule provides basic guidance on potential analyses for technical demonstration
- List of all emissions categories, sources and activities
- For each pollutant an inventory of emissions and list of technologically feasible controls
- Should provide: control efficiency, emission reduction, estimated cost per ton, date of implementation

<u>RFP</u>

- RFP plan is due with attainment demonstration in December 2012 if not able to attain the PM2.5 NAAQS by 2014
- Annual incremental reductions in emissions for purpose of ensuring timely attainment
- If State proposing attainment date beyond 5 years then must establish emission reduction milestones for 2014 (and 2017 if applicable) showing "generally linear progress" from the base year through the attainment year
- Base year for RFP inventory should be the same as for attainment demonstration

RFP cont'd

- Mid-course review due in 2016 for areas with attainment date in 2019 or 2020
- Evaluate progress in terms of emission reductions, ambient air quality and implementation of measures
- Identify and adopt new measures

Contingency Measures

- Measures to be implemented without further action if area fails by its attainment date or to meet RFP requirements
- Need to be measures other than those required for attainment or to meet RFP
- Level of reductions must be equal to one year's worth of reductions needed to show attainment for the area
- For example if base year is 2008 and attainment year is 2014 then contingency measures should be equal to one-sixth of reductions by pollutant needed to show attainment, or provide for one-sixth of air quality improvement needed for attainment

Resources

- EPA website: http://www.epa.gov/pm/measures.html
- NESCAUM reports: ICI boilers Jan. 2009); EGU boilers (Mar. 2011) http://www.nescaum.org/topics/air-pollution-controltechnologies
- STAPPA/ALAPCO 2006 PM2.5 menu of options
- LADCO White Papers: http://www.ladco.org/RegionalAir
 Quality.html
- South Coast: Appendix A <u>www.aqmd.gov/aqmp</u>
- Pechan/RTI report: Evaluation of Potential PM2.5 Reductions by Improving Performance of Control Devices: Conclusions and Recommendations

Contacts

- Catherine Roberts: 303-312-6025
 <u>roberts.catherine@epa.gov</u>
- Mike Owens: 303-312-6440 owens.mike@epa.gov
- Mark Komp: 303-312-6022 komp.mark@epa.gov